

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/576,366	05/22/2000	Dejan N. Nenov	M-8603 UŚ	1813	
7	7590 11/17/2003			EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWSEND AND CREW LLP ATTN: RICHARD C. Hsu			NGUYEN, MERILYN P		
379 LYTTON AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PALO ALTO,, CA 94301-1431			2171		

Please find below and/or attached, an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Sumi	09/576,366	NENOV ET AL.
ZAGIIII O III GAGIO III GAGIO GAGIO	Examiner	Art Unit
	Merilyn P Nguyen	2171
All Participants:	Status of Appli	cation: <u>Pending</u>
(1) <u>Merilyn P Nguyen</u> .	(3) <u>Ko-Fang o</u>	<u>hang</u> .
(2) Safet Metjahic.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 13 November 2003	Time: <u>2:00 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes	☐ Applicant's representative	)
If Yes, provide a brief description:	A MO	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: independent claims		
Prior art documents discussed:  Mazzamuto et al.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING T See Continuation Sheet	HE GENERAL NATURE OF \	WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a directly resulted in the allowance of the appl of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brid</li> </ul>	cation. The examiner will pro- separate record of the substa	vide a written summary of the substance ance of the interview, since the interview
Du		
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER		
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100		
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/Applicant's Repres	sentative Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 09/576,366

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant argues that the reference fail to disclose assign the respective standardized product code of the matching known product to the unidentified product. In the reference, the unique identifier in not a standardized product code. The examiner agree..